Wu

Hibert space Hamiltonian

TN rep

Optimization

Results

QED dynar Even Z₂

Odd Z_2

Future

Acknowledgement

Efficient tensor network ansatz for abelian lattice gauge theory in (2+1)D

Yantao Wu

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

July 16, 2025

YW*, Liu*. arXiv:2503.20566 (2025)

Wu

Hibert space

- Hamiltoniar
- TN rep.
- Optimization
- Results QED dynamics Even Z₂ Odd Z₂
- Future
- Acknowledgemen

U(1) lattice gauge theory: Hilbert space



• Gauge field on links: (in the electric basis)

$$E |n\rangle = n |n\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

$$[\phi, E] = i, \quad U = e^{i\phi}, U | n \rangle = | n + 1 \rangle, \quad \phi \sim \int_a^b \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{I}$$

• Matter field on vertices: $(c_x: a boson or fermion operator on vertex of x)$

$$[c_{\mathbf{x}},c_{\mathbf{y}}^{\dagger}]_{\pm}=\delta_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$$

• Gauss's law at each vertex

$$c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}}c_{\mathbf{x}}+E_{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_{1},1)}+E_{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_{2},2)}-E_{(\mathbf{x},1)}-E_{(\mathbf{x},2)}=q(\mathbf{x}), \quad q(\mathbf{x}) \text{ pre-defined}$$

Wu

Hibert space

TN rep

Optimization

- Results QED dynamics Even Z₂ Odd Z₂
- Future
- Acknowledgement

U(1) lattice gauge theory: Hamiltonian

$$H = H_E + H_B + H_M$$



• Electric energy:

$$H_E = g \sum_{\mathbf{x},i} E_{\mathbf{x},i}^2, \quad g > 0$$

• Magnetic energy:

$$H_{B} = -h \sum_{\mathbf{x}} U_{\mathbf{x},1} U_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_{1},2} U_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_{2},1}^{\dagger} U_{\mathbf{x},2}^{\dagger} + h.c \sim -2h \cos(\oint_{\Box} \mathbf{A} d\mathbf{I}), \quad h > 0$$

• Matter energy:

$$H_M = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} m_{\mathbf{x}} c_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{x}} + J \sum_{\mathbf{x},i=1,2} c_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} U_{\mathbf{x},i} c_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_i} + h.c$$

Wu

Hibert space Hamiltonian

TN rep.

Optimizatio

Results QED dynamics Even Z₂ Odd Z₂

Acknowledgeme

Tensor network representation of Z_N LGT states

Tensor network state with manifest gauge constraint:

• charge structure on each tensor leg

tensor leg index: $i = 1, 2, \cdots, D$

charge function: $q(i) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, N-1\}$



Gauss's law:
$$c_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{x}} + E_{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_{1},1)} + E_{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_{2},2)} - E_{(\mathbf{x},1)} - E_{(\mathbf{x},2)} = q(\mathbf{x})$$

• gauge tensor *B*:

• matter tensor A:

$$A_{lrdu}^{p} = A_{lrdu}^{p} \delta_{q(s)+q(l)+q(d)-q(r)-q(u),q(\mathbf{x})}$$

Wu

Hibert space Hamiltonian

TN re

Optimization

Results

QED dyn: Even Z_2

Odd Z_2

Future

Acknowledgemen

Optimization of gauge-invariant tensor network states

• Choose tensor *B* as the identity tensor *without losing representibility*:

$$B_{lr}^n = \delta_{lr} \delta_{n,q(l)}$$

 ${\cal B}$ can be frozen in the optimization of the tensor network states.

• One way to find the ground states of the LGT is to do variational Monte Carlo. In VMC, the key quantity to evaluate is the amplitude of the projection of the wavefunction onto a gauge field configuration **n** and a matter field configuration **p**. Due to the above structure of *B*, evaluation of the projected amplitude is equivalent to the contraction of a 2D conventional TNS with **reduced** bond dimension:



Wu

Hibert space

Hamiltonia

TN rep

Optimization

Results

QED dynamics Even Z_2 Odd Z_2

Future

Acknowledge

QED in (1+1)D: particle creation in real time

$$H = m \sum_{x} (-1)^{x} c_{x}^{\dagger} c_{x} + J \sum_{x} (c_{x}^{\dagger} U_{x+\frac{1}{2}} c_{x+1} + h.c.) + g \sum_{x} E_{x+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}, \qquad U \equiv e^{i\phi}, \ [\phi, E] = i$$



small mass \rightarrow more particles created large mass \rightarrow less particles created

Easy numerics, but deep physics.

Wu

Hibert space

TN rep

Optimization

QED dynami

 $\mathsf{Odd}\ Z_2$

Future

Acknowledgemen

Even Z_2 gauge theory $H = -g \sum_{l} Z_l - \sum_{\Box} X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4, \text{ on each vertex } Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 = 1 Z_3 - Z_1$

Ground state optimization: variational Monte Carlo

- efficient: $\sim O((\frac{D}{N})^7)$ for Z_N gauge theory
- accurate: $e_{\text{PEPS}} = -0.69092(6)$ vs $e_{\text{QMC}} = -0.69083(6)$ for 16×16 , $g \approx g_c$.

0.035 - 0.035 0.030 ator 0.025 - 0.025 Z- lattice gauge theory **5** 0.020 - 0.020 0.015 0.015 - 0.015 0.010 0.005 0.005 0.000 0.000 0 00 0 05 0 10 0 15 0 20 0 25 0 30 0.35 0 40

Fit $\log(W)$ vs area(C)

Wilson loop (over a square loop C):

$$W = \prod_{l \in \mathcal{C}} X_l \sim egin{cases} e^{-k \; \operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{C})} & g > g_c \ e^{-k' \; \operatorname{Perimeter}(\mathcal{C})} & g < g_c \end{cases}$$

 g_c known from duality to 2D transverse field lsing model.

Wu

Hibert space

TN rep.

Optimization

Results QED dynam

Odd Z₂

Future

Acknowledgemei

Odd Z_2 gauge theory

 Z_2 odd gauge theory in (2+1)D:

$$H=-g\sum_{I}Z_{I}-h\sum_{\Box}X_{1}X_{2}X_{3}X_{4},$$

 X_1'

 X_2'

Corresponds to a frustrated spin system.





on each vertex $Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 = -1^{Z_3}$

Distribution of $(X_1X_2X_3X_4)_i$ at ground state. Translation symmetry breaking (TSB) for large g.

TSB OP $\equiv D_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (-1)^{i_x} (X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4)_i$

 Z_2

 \dot{Z}_{A}

 $-Z_1$

Wii

- Future

Future

Still a long way to go to reach QCD

- $Z_N \rightarrow SU(3)$
- $(2+1)D \rightarrow (3+1)D$
- no matter \rightarrow fermionic matter (straightforward)

Other directions:

- full update, simple update
- infinite PEPS
- continuous space MPS
- SPT and generalized symmetry in lattice gauge theory
 - e.g. Higgs phase \leftrightarrow SPT: boundary phase transitions in Z_2 LGT with matter fields • . . .



Discretize space by lattice

Wu

Acknowledgement

Special thanks to my collaborator



Professor Wenyuan Liu, Zhejiang University